Standards of Care for Juvenile Sexual Offenders of the International Association for the Treatment of Sexual Offenders

Michael Miner¹, Charles Borduin², David Prescott³, Helle Bovensmann⁴, Renate Schepker⁵, Reinmar Du Bois⁶, Joann Schladale⁷, Reinhard Eher⁸, Klaus Schmeck⁹, Thore Langfeldt¹⁰, Arina Smit¹¹, Friedemann Pfäfflin¹²

¹University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN., USA.
²University of Missouri, Columbia, MO., USA
³Sand Ridge Secure Treatment Center, Mauston, WI., USA
⁴Westphalian Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Marsberg, Germany
⁵ZfP Weissenau, Ravensberg, Germany
⁶Olga Hospital, Olga, Germany
⁷Resources for Resolving Violence, Freeport, ME., USA
⁸Federal Documentation Centre for Sexual Offenders, Vienna, Austria
⁹University Hospital Basel, Basel, Switzerland
¹⁰Institute for Clinical Sexology and Therapy, Oslo, Norway
¹¹University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa
¹²Ulm University, Ulm, Germany

[Sexual Offender Treatment, Volume 1 (2006), Issue 3]

Minimal standards for treatment of adult sexual offenders were adopted by the membership of the International Association for the Treatment of Sexual Offenders (IATSO) at its first membership General Assembly in Toronto, Ontario, Canada in May 2000 (Coleman, Dwyer, Abel, Berner, Breiling, Eher, et al., 2000; 2003). These standards, initially developed in 1990 with input from attendees at the Second International Conference on Sexual Offender Treatment held in Minneapolis, Minnesota (Coleman & Dwyer, 1990; Coleman, Dwyer, Abel, Berner, Breiling, Hindman, et al. 1996), were refined by a committee of professionals at the Fifth International Conference on Sexual Offender Treatment (Coleman et al., 2000).

With these standards in place, the Governing Board of IATSO designated a committee in summer 2004 to develop similar standards for treatment of Juvenile Sexual Offenders. This committee consisted of representatives from a number of countries with differing traditions of sexual offender treatment and juvenile justice, including Austria, Germany, Norway, South Africa, Switzerland, and the United States. Developing standards of care for juvenile populations can be a challenging endeavor. Adolescence is a time of rapid change, and thus, there is great heterogeneity in those youths who commit acts that can be defined as sexual offenses. These differences are influenced by the developmental stage of the youth, which may roughly parallel age, and multiple environmental factors. Additionally, studies conducted outside North America find higher base rates of re-offense than those within North America (e.g. Nisbet, Wilson, & Smallbone, 2004; Langstrom & Grann, 2000). This is likely the case because definitions of who is a juvenile offender, what behaviors are sexual crimes, and how the juvenile justice system is organized can differ substantially across countries.

These Standards of Care, which were adopted by the membership at the General Assembly of the International Association for the Treatment of Sexual Offenders in Hamburg, Germany, September 7, 2006, are designed to be minimal guidelines for those developing and implementing treatment

interventions for Juvenile Sexual Offenders. These Standards are based on the current state of knowledge on adolescents who commit sexual offences. Most of the available data are from adolescent males and the state of science in this field is still evolving. Thus, the Committee avoided making specific recommendations about particular procedures, techniques, or instrumentation.

Definitions

Juvenile Sexual Offenders. Youths between the ages of 12 18 who have either been officially charged with a sexual crime (e.g., child molestation, rape, exhibitionism, voyeurism), have performed an act that could be officially charged, or committed sexually abusive/aggressive behavior.

Assessment. A formal procedure of information collection that includes evaluations conducted by psychologists, psychiatrists, social workers or others for the purpose of developing intervention strategies, making placement decisions, and/or informing legal or social service agencies.

Treatment. A structured set of interventions based on a specialized assessment. It can include psychotherapy, family therapy, medical treatments, or other psychosocial interventions. While probation supervision and residential placement are not considered treatment, they are important aspects of intervention with juvenile sexual offenders.

Professional Competence

The possession of an academic degree in behavioral science, medicine, or for the provision of psychosocial clinical services does not necessarily attest to the possession of sufficient competence to conduct assessment or treatment of juveniles who have committed sexual offenses. Persons engaged in such services should possess clinical training and experience in child and adolescent psychopathology and problem behavior, as well as specialized training in the sexual development of youth. This would generally be reflected by appropriate licensure as a psychiatrist, psychologist, clinical social worker, or clinical therapist with listed competence or board certification specific to children and adolescents. Additionally, treatment providers must be competent to differentially identify normative vs. problematic sexual behavior.

The following are *minimal standards* for a professional responsible for the assessment and/or treatment of a child or juvenile who has committed a sexual offense.

- 1. A minimum of a master s degree or its equivalent or medical degree in a clinical field granted by an institution of higher education accredited by a national/regional accrediting board or institution.
- 2. Demonstrated competence in therapy indicated by a license (or its equivalent from a certifying body) to practice medicine, psychology, clinical social work, professional counseling, or marriage and family therapy.
- 3. Specialized competence in the assessment and treatment of children and juveniles, as demonstrated by board certification, specialized training, or supervised clinical experience, along with continuing education.
- 4. Knowledge of child and juvenile sexual development, as demonstrated by specialized training or continuing education.
- 5. Demonstrated training and competence in providing psychotherapy to juveniles and families.

Principals for Care of Juveniles who have Sexually Offended

1. Juveniles are best understood within the context of their families and social environments

Young people are by definition more dependant on the world around them than adults are. Many do not have any perspective on masculinity and femininity besides what they see in their families and close friends. The characteristics of the family have been shown to be related to troubled adolescent behavior, including sexual offending behavior (Bischof, Stith, & Whitney, 1995; Blaske, Borduin, Henggeler, & Mann, 1989). The environment, including the relative advantage or disadvantage of the neighborhoods in which youths reside, have been shown to have significant effects on many facets of adolescent development, including the development of such concepts as masculinity, use of aggression/force, and acceptance of behaviors that deviate from social norms (Elliott, Wilson, Huizinga, Sampson, Elliott, & Rankin, 1996). It has long been accepted within adolescent psychiatry and psychology that to understand and develop treatment interventions for adolescents, one must view the adolescent within the context of his or her family, school, and other social systems.

2. Assessment and treatment of juveniles should be based on a developmental perspective, should be sensitive to developmental change, and should be an on-going process.

Adolescence is a time of dramatic change. It is a time of awakened sexual interest, and for many youth, a willingness to engage in rule-breaking behavior that will not persist into adulthood. Discussing sexually abusive youth, Prentky and Righthand (2003) observe that, No aspect of their development, including their cognitive development, is fixed or stable. In a very real sense, we are trying to assess the risk of moving targets (p. i). Additionally, the factors that contribute to their behavior are subject to change. Quinsey, Skilling, Lalumiere, and Craig (2004) note that the risk factors for juvenile delinquency change from pre-adolescence to adolescence. Others (e.g. Worling, 2005; Prescott, 2005; Epperson, in press) note that the risk factors for youth who have engaged in sexually harmful behaviors are different from their adult counterparts. Still others have used the term heterotypic continuity (Kernberg, Weiner, & Bardenstein, 2000) to describe how the expression of personality pathology can change across childhood.

3. Assessment and treatment should include a focus on the youth s strengths.

It is understandable that communities are interested in knowing what dangers a young person might pose. However, assessment and treatment should account for the long-term positive development of youth as well as the short-term promotion of safety. Professionals should therefore also focus on the strengths, abilities, and competencies that a young person has. Youth is a time of building resilience and strengths into positive assets. These assets are vital to moving beyond adversity. By focusing only on risk factors and goals based on avoidance related only to community safety, professionals can miss a key element of treatment the youth s own strengths--and in the long run work against the promotion of safety.

4. The development of sexual interest and orientation is dynamic. The sexual interests of youth can change over the course of adolescence and this is the period when sexual orientation immerges.

The sexual arousal patterns of youth have proven to be elusive targets for both assessment and treatment. Given that adolescence is by definition a time of accelerated sexual and social development, it makes sense that sexual interest and arousal is subject to change. The evidence indicates that sexual arousal is fluid and dynamic across adolescence (Hunter & Becker, 1994). Although sexually abusive youth can engage in sexually deviant behavior, it appears that the majority of them do not experience persistent and entrenched sexual deviance (Hunter & Becker, 1994; Hunter, Goodwin, & Becker, 1994). In fact, for those youth who may be re-enacting their own abuse or situations that they have witnessed (Schwartz, Cavanaugh, Pimental, & Prentky, 2005), it may well be that harmful sexual behavior is not deviant within the context of their experience. Further, research indicates that the first experience of sexual attraction takes place at about age 7 (Savin-Williams & Diamond, 2000) and the individuals awareness of their sexual orientation continues throughout adolescence.

5. Youth who have committed sexual offenses are a diverse population. They should not be treated with a one size fits all approach.

The current literature on juvenile sex offenders fails to provide an adequate empirical base regarding etiological and maintaining factors or factors that lead to desistence of sexual offending behavior. However, the available data indicate that there are likely multiple pathways to sexual offending and recidivism during adolescence and early adulthood (Boyd, Hagan, & Cho, 2000; Hunter, Figueredo, Malamuth, & Becker, 2003; 2004; Miner, 2002; Sipe, Jensen, & Everett, 1998; Waite, Keller, McGarvey, Wieckowski, Pinkerton, & Brown, 2005).

6. Treatment should be broad-based and comprehensive.

In many areas, treatment response to sexual abuse by juveniles has been based on narrow principles such as relapse prevention, the offense cycle, and the presumption of sexual deviance. However, these have not been empirically demonstrated to be related to youthful sexual offending. In fact, the emerging research suggests that the most successful treatments are those that are community-based and involve the supportive adults in a youth s life (Borduin, Henggeler, Blaske, & Stein, 1990; Borduin, Schaeffer, & Heiblum, 2005; Hunter & Longo, 2004).

7. Labels can be more iatrogenic in children and adolescents than in adults. The juvenile and his/her family/primary care-giving system should be treated with respect and dignity.

Young people are inherently more dependent upon the environment around them. This can be especially true with respect to the language we use to describe them. Adults working with youth who have sexually abused other individuals should take every precaution against actions that label youth as deviant, perverted, or destined to persist in sexual harm. Professionals are increasingly using language that labels the behavior and not the identity of the youth (Chaffin, Letourneau, & Silovsky, 2002). This helps to ensure that youths do not develop a view of themselves as unable to develop into healthy and productive individuals or to ever be greater than the sum of their worst behaviors.

8. Sexual offender registries and community notification, should not be applied to juveniles.

Given the developmental needs of youth, their culpability being different from adults, and the labels and stigmas that adults can place on children through unproven avenues such as registration and notification, IATSO is extremely skeptical of the long-term utility of such policies and is concerned by their potentially harmful effects on the very communities these policies seek to serve (see Letourneau & Miner, 2005).

9. Effective interventions result from research guided by specialized clinical experience, and not from popular beliefs, or unusual cases in the media.

The current state of the science in juvenile sexual offender treatment is primitive and thus, there are many areas in need of clinical innovation and scientific investigation. Changes in these Standards and the use of treatment interventions should be based on scientific investigation, valid tests of efficacy and effectiveness, and should not be based on individual intuition, personal, or popular beliefs. Many changes to the treatment and management process are instigated because of unusual and heinous crimes picked up by the media. Such changes are generally misguided and potentially iatrogenic.

References

- 1. Bischof, G.P., Stith, S.M. & Whitney, M.L. (1995). Family environments of adolescent sex offenders and other juvenile delinquents. Adolescence, 30, 157-170.
- 2. Blaske, D. M., Borduin, C. M., Henggeler, S. W., & Mann, B. J. (1989). Individual, family, and peer characteristics of adolescent sex offenders and assaultive offenders. Developmental Psychology, 25, 846-855.
- 3. Borduin, C. M., Henggeler, S. W., Blaske, D. M., & Stein, R. (1990). Multisystemic treatment of adolescent sexual offenders. International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology, 34, 105-114.
- 4. Borduin, C. M., Schaeffer, C. M., & Heiblum, N. (2005). Multisystemic treatment of juvenile sexual offenders: Effects on adolescent social ecology and criminal activity. Manuscript submitted for publication.
- 5. Boyd, N.J., Hagan, M., & Cho, M.E. (2000). Characteristics of adolescent sex offenders: A review of the research. Agression and Violent Behavior, 5, 137-146.
- Chaffin, M., Letourneau, E., & Silovsky, J. (2002). Adults, adolescents and children who abuse children: A developmental perspective. In J.E.B. Myers, L. Berliner, J. Briere, C. T. Hendrix, C. Jenny, & T.E. Reid (Eds.), APSAC Handbook on Child Maltreatment, 2nd edition (pp. 205-232). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- 7. Coleman, E., & Dwyer, S.M. (1990). Proposed standards of care for treatment of adult sex offenders. Journal of Offender Rehabilitation, 16(1/2), 93-106.
- Coleman, E., Dwyer, S.M., Abel, G., Berner, W., Breiling, J., Hindman, J. Honey Knopp, F., Langevin, R., & Pfäfflin, F. (1996). Standards of care for the treatment of adult sex offenders. In: Coleman, E., Dwyer, S.M., & Pallone, N.J. (eds.). Sex offender treatment. Biological dysfunction, intrapsychic conflick, interpersonal violence (pp. 5-11). New York, London: Haworth Press. Auch in Journal of Offender Rehabilitation, 23(3.4), 5-11.
- 9. Coleman, E., Dwyer, S.M., Abel, G., Berner, W., Breiling, J., Eher, R., Hindman, J., Langevin, R., Langfeldt, T., Miner, M., Pfäfflin, F., & Weiss, P. (2000). Standards of care for

the treatment of adult sex offenders. Journal of Psychology and Human Sexuality, 11(3), 11-17.

- Coleman, E., Dwyer, S.M., Abel, G., Berner, W., Breiling, J., Eher, R., Hindman, J., Langevin, R., Langfeldt, T., Miner, M., Pfäfflin, F., & Weiss, P. (2003). Standards of care for the treatment of adult sex offenders. Social and Clinical Psychiatry (Russian version, Moscow), 13(4), 82-84.
- 11. Elliott, D.S., Wilson, W.J., Huizinga, D., Sampson, R.J., Elliott, A., & Rankin, B. (1996). The effects of neighborhood disadvantage on adolescent development. Journal of Research in Crime and Delinquency, 33, 389-426.
- 12. Epperson, D.L., Ralston, C.A., Fowers, D., DeWitt, J. & Gore, K. (2006, in press). Actuarial Risk Assessment with Juveniles Who Offend Sexually: Development of the Juvenile Sexual Offense Recidivism Risk Assessment Tool-II (JSORRAT-II). In D.S. Prescott (Ed.), Risk assessment of youth who have sexually abused: Theory, controversy, and emerging strategies. Oklahoma City, OK: Wood N Barnes.
- 13. Hunter, J.A. & Becker, J.V. (1994). The role of deviant sexual arousal in juvenile sexual offending: Etiology, evaluation, and treatment, Criminal Justice and Behavior, 21, 132-149.
- 14. Hunter, J.A., Figueredo, A.J., Malamuth, N.M., & Becker, J.V. (2003). Juvenile sex offenders: Toward the development of a typology, Sexual Abuse: A Journal of Research and Treatment, 15, 27-48.
- 15. Hunter, J.A., Goodwin, D.W., & Becker, J.V. (1994). The relationship between phallometrically measured deviant sexual arousal and clinical characteristics in juvenile sexual offenders. Behavior Research and Therapy, 32, 533-538.
- 16. Hunter, J., & Longo, R.E. (2004). Relapse prevention with juvenile sexual abusers: A holistic and integrated approach. In: G. O Reilly, W.L. Marshall, A. Carr, & R.C. Beckett (eds.), The handbook of clinical intervention with young people who sexually abuse. Hove and New York: Brunner-Routledge

Kernberg, P.F., Weiner, A.S., & Bardenstein (2000). Personality Disorders in Children and Adolescents. New York: Basic Books.

- 17. Langstrom, N., & Grann, M. (2000). Risk for criminal recidivism among young sex offenders, Journal of Interpersonal Violence, 15, 855-871.
- 18. Letourneau, EJ. & Miner, M.H. (2005). Juvenile sex offenders: A case against the legal and clinical status quo. Sexual Abuse: A Journal of Research and Treatment, 17, 293-312.
- 19. Miner, M.H. (2002). Factors associated with recidivism in juveniles: An analysis of serious juvenile sex offenders. Journal of Research in Crime and Delinquency, 39, 421-436.
- 20. Nisbet, I.A., Wilson, P.H., & Smallbone, S.W. (2004). A prospective longitudinal study of sexual recidivism among adolescent sex offenders. Sexual Abuse: A Journal of Research and Treatment, 16, 223-234.
- 21. Prentky, R. & Righthand, S. (2003). Juvenile Sex Offender Assessment Protocol II (JSOAP II). Available from Center for Sex Offender Management at <u>www.csom.org</u>.
- Prescott, D.S. (2005) The current state of adolescent risk assessment. In B.K Schwartz (Ed.), The sex offender: Issues in assessment, treatment, and supervision of adult and juvenile populations, Volume 5 (pp. 17-1 18-15). Kingston, NJ: Civic Research Institute.
- 23. Quinsey, V.L., Skilling, T.A., Lalumiere, M.L., & Craig, W.M. (2004). Juvenile Delinquency: Understanding the Origins of Individual Differences. Washington, D.C.: American Psychological Association.
- 24. Schwartz, B.K., Cavanaugh, D., Pimental, A., & Prentky, R. (2005, in press). Family violence and severe maltreatment in sexually reactive children and adolescence. In R.E. Longo & D.S. Prescott (Eds.), Current perspectives: Working with sexually aggressive youth and youth with sexual behavior problems. Holyoke, MA: New England Adolescent Research Institute.

- 25. Savin-Williams, R.C. & Diamond, L.M. (2000) Sexual Identity Trajectories Among Sexual-Minority Youths: Gender Comparisons. Archives of Sexual Behavior, 29, 607-627.
- 26. Sipe, R., Jensen, E.L. & Everett, R.S. (1998). Adolescent sexual offenders grown up: Recidivism in young adulthood. Criminal Justice and Behavior, 25, 109-124.
- Waite, D., Keller, A., McGarvey, E.L., Wieckowski, E., Pinkerton, R., & Brown, G.L. (2005). Juvenile sex offender re-arrest rates for sexual, violent nonsexual and property crimes: A 10-year follow-up. Sexual Abuse: A Journal of Research and Treatment, 17, 313-331.
- Worling, J. R. (2005). Assessing sexual offense risk for adolescents who have offended sexually. In B.K. Schwartz (Ed.), The sex offender: Issues in assessment, treatment, and supervision of adult and juvenile populations, Volume 5 (pp. 18-1 18-17). Kingston, NJ: Civic Research Institute.

Author address

Michael H. Miner, Ph.D., L.P. Associate Professor Program in Human Sexuality Department of Family Medicine and Community Health University of Minnesota Phone: 612-625-1500 Fax: 612-626-8311